

# CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 — ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION AND PROCEDURE .....	1
A. Introduction .....	1
1. <i>The Constitution of the United States</i> .....	1
<i>Note: Implementation of Article III</i> .....	2
2. <i>The Judiciary Act of 1789</i> .....	2
3. <i>U.S.C. Title 28: Judiciary and Judicial Procedure</i> .....	2
B. Navigable Waters: Evolution of the Requirement .....	2
1. <i>The Basic Standard</i> .....	2
<i>Note: The Common Law Tradition</i> .....	2
JACKSON et al. v. STEAMBOAT MAGNOLIA 61 U.S. (20 How.) 296, 15 L. Ed. 909 (1857) .....	3
2. <i>The Modern Standards</i> .....	13
<i>Note: Interpretations of “Navigable Waters”</i> .....	13
a. <i>“Contemporary Navigability in Fact” Test</i> .....	13
LeBLANC v. CLEVELAND 198 F.3d 353, 2000 AMC 609 (2d Cir. 1999) .....	13
<i>Note: Different Definitions of “Navigability”</i> .....	18
b. <i>Seasonal Navigability</i> .....	18
WILDER v. PLACID OIL CO. 611 F. Supp. 841 (W.D. La. 1985), aff’d sub nom. Sanders v. Placid Oil Co., 861 F.2d 1374, 1989 AMC 912 (5th Cir. 1988) .....	18
c. <i>Artificial Bodies of Water</i> .....	22
d. <i>The Commerce Clause: “Historical” Navigability Requirement</i> .....	23
C. Maritime Torts .....	23
<i>Note: Jurisdiction in Tort</i> .....	23
1. <i>Maritime Location Criterion</i> .....	24
<i>Note</i> .....	24
THE ADMIRAL PEOPLES 295 U.S. 649, 55 S.Ct. 885, 79 L. Ed. 1633 (1935) .....	24
2. <i>The Admiralty Extension Act, 46 U.S.C. § 740 (1948)</i> .....	26
a. <i>Text</i> .....	26
<i>Note: The Purpose of the Admiralty Extension Act</i> .....	26
<i>Note: Application of the Admiralty Extension Act by the Supreme Court: Proximate Cause</i> .....	27
b. <i>Application of the Admiralty Extension Act: Cases and Notes</i> .....	28
DULUTH SUPERIOR EXCURSIONS, INC. v. MAKELA 623 F.2d 1251, 1980 AMC 2518 (8th Cir. 1980) .....	28
<i>Question</i> .....	30
WHITTINGTON v. SEWER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. 541 F.2d 427, 1976 AMC 967 (4th Cir. 1976) .....	30
MARGIN v. SEA-LAND SERVICES, INC. 812 F.2d 973, 1988 AMC 1213 (5th Cir. 1987) .....	33
<i>Note: Liability of Shipbuilders Under the Act</i> .....	36
<i>Note: Vehicular Accidents</i> .....	36
3. <i>The Modern Criteria for Jurisdiction in Tort: Locality Plus Nexus</i> .....	36
a. <i>Genesis of the Nexus Criterion: The Trilogy Cases</i> .....	36
<i>Notes</i> .....	36
<i>Note: Application of Executive Jet in the Lower Federal Courts</i> .....	41
b. <i>Interpretation of “Nexus”</i> .....	42
JEROME B. GRUBART, INC. v. GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK COMPANY 513 U.S. 527, 115 S.Ct. 1043, 130 L. Ed. 2d 1024, 1995 AMC 913 (1995) .....	42
<i>Note: Limits on Grubart</i> .....	52
<i>Notes:</i> .....	52

1. Jurisdiction Over Aviation Torts .....	52
2. Nexus v. Locus: Can Nexus Without Locus Ever be Sufficient? .....	53
<b>4. Products Liability: Actions Based Upon Maritime Tort .....</b>	<b>54</b>
EMPLOYERS INSURANCE OF WAUSAU v. SUWANNEE RIVER SPA LINES, INC. 866 F.2d 752, 1990 AMC 447 (5th Cir. 1989) .....	54
SWOGGER v. WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORP. 518 N.Y.S.2d 715, 1987 AMC 2679 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., New York County, 1987) aff'd, 546 N.Y.S.2d 80 (N.Y.A.D. 1989) .....	55
OMAN v. JOHNS-MANVILLE CORP. 764 F.2d 224, 1985 AMC 2317 (4th Cir. 1985) (en banc) .....	59
<b>D. Maritime Contracts .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<i>Note: The Nature of a Maritime Contract</i> .....	62
<b>1. Types of Contracts Within the Cognizance of Admiralty .....</b>	<b>62</b>
a. Marine Insurance Policies .....	62
INSurance COMPANY v. DUNHAM 78 U.S. (11 Wall.) 1, 20 L. Ed. 90 (1870) .....	62
b. Contract for Repairs .....	67
NORTH PACIFIC STEAMSHIP CO. v. HALL BROTHERS CO. 249 U.S. 119, 39 S.Ct. 221, 63 L. Ed. 510 (1919) .....	67
c. Enforceability of Oral Contracts .....	70
<b>2. Preliminary, Collateral and Mixed Contracts .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<i>Note: Overview of Agreements</i> .....	70
a. Executory Contracts .....	70
TERMINAL SHIPPING CO. v. HAMBERG 222 F. 1020 (D. Maryland, 1915) .....	70
b. General Agency Contracts .....	71
PERALTA SHIPPING CORPORATION v. SMITH & JOHNSON (SHIPPING) CORP. 739 F.2d 798, 1985 AMC 989 (2d Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 470 U.S. 1031, 105 S.Ct. 1405, 84 L. Ed. 2d 791 (1985) .....	71
EXXON CORP. v. CENTRAL GULF LINES, INC. 500 U.S. 603, 111 S.Ct. 2071, 114 L. Ed. 2d 649, 1991 AMC 1817 (1991) .....	76
c. Contracts to Lease Containers .....	80
CTI-CONTAINER LEASING CORP. v. OCEANIC OPERATIONS 682 F.2d 377, 1982 AMC 2541 (2d Cir. 1982) .....	80
d. Mixed Contracts .....	83
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY CO. v. KIRBY — U.S. —, 125 S.Ct. 385, 2004 AMC 2705 (2004) .....	83
Notes: .....	88
<b>CHAPTER 2 - FEDERALISM AND ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>A. Introduction: Concurrent Jurisdiction: “Saving to Suitors” .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>B. Proceedings In Rem .....</b>	<b>89</b>
THE HINE v. TREVOR 71 U.S. 555, 18 L. Ed. 451, 4 Wall. 555 (1866) .....	89
<b>C. Suits In Personam: Attachment .....</b>	<b>91</b>
ROUNDS v. CLOVERPORT FOUNDRY AND MACHINE COMPANY 237 U.S. 303, 35 S. Ct. 596, 59 L. Ed. 966 (1915) .....	91
<i>Note: State Court Jurisdiction in Maritime Cases</i> .....	93
<i>Note: Statutory Proceedings</i> .....	93
<b>D. Sources of Law .....</b>	<b>93</b>
SOUTHERN PACIFIC CO. v. JENSEN 244 U.S. 205, 37 S. Ct. 524, 61 L. Ed. 1086, 1996 AMC 2076 (1917) .....	93
<i>Note: Problems of Federalism: Choice of Law</i> .....	101
<b>E. Application of State Law: Introductory Note .....</b>	<b>102</b>
<i>Note: Application of State Law in Wrongful Death Actions</i> .....	103
BYRD v. BYRD 657 F.2d 615, 1981 AMC 2563 (4th Cir. 1981) .....	103
<b>F. Forum Non Conveniens .....</b>	<b>107</b>
AMERICAN DREDGING CO. v. MILLER 510 U.S. 443, 114 S. Ct. 981, 127 L. Ed. 2d 285, 1994 AMC 913 (1994) .....	107

Notes: Maritime Law Preemption of State Law .....	116
3. <i>Offshore Conflicts</i> .....	119
<b>G. Federal Judicial System</b> .....	<b>119</b>
<b>1. Admiralty Jurisdiction</b> .....	<b>119</b>
ROMERO v. INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL OPERATING CO. 358 U.S. 354, 79 S. Ct. 468, 3 L. Ed. 2d 368 (1959) .....	119
<i>Note</i> .....	127
VODUSEK v. BAYLINER MARINE CORPORATION 71 F.3d 148, 1996 AMC 330 (4th Cir. 1995) .....	127
<i>Note</i> .....	130
<i>Note: Plaintiff's Options</i> .....	130
<b>2. Ancillary and Pendent Jurisdiction—Textual Analysis</b> .....	<b>130</b>
<b>3. Supplemental Jurisdiction</b> .....	<b>134</b>
THE SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION ACT 28 U.S.C. § 1367 .....	134
JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT P.L. 101-650 Legislative History, House Report No. 101-734 .....	135
<b>4. Right to Trial by Jury: Joinder of Jones Act and Traditional Maritime Claims</b> .....	<b>136</b>
FITZGERALD v. UNITED STATES LINES CO. 374 U.S. 16, 83 S. Ct. 26, 11 L. Ed. 2d 99 (1963) .....	136
<b>5. Right to Trial by Jury: Joinder of Jones Act and Maritime Claims In Rem</b> .....	<b>138</b>
VODUSEK v. BAYLINER MARINE CORPORATION 71 F.3d 148, 1996 AMC 330 (4th Cir. 1995), <i>supra</i> pp. 127-30 .....	138
ZRNCEVICH v. BLUE HAWAII ENTERPRISES, INC. 738 F. Supp. 350, 1990 AMC 2450 (D. Haw. 1990) .....	139
<i>Note</i> .....	144
<b>6. Counterclaims</b> .....	<b>144</b>
<i>Note</i> .....	144
<b>a. Compulsory vs. Permissive Counterclaims</b> .....	<b>145</b>
STATE BANK & TRUST v. BOAT D.J. GRIFFIN 731 F. Supp. 770, 1990 AMC 1176 (E.D. La. 1990) .....	145
<b>b. Counterclaims: Right to Trial by Jury</b> .....	<b>148</b>
WILMINGTON TRUST v. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR DISTRICT OF HAWAII 934 F.2d 1026, 1991 AMC 1849 (9th Cir. 1991) .....	148
<b>7. Third-Party Practice Prior to the Supplemental Jurisdiction Act</b> .....	<b>153</b>
GAUTHIER v. CROSBY MARINE SERVICE, INC. 87 F.R.D. 353, 1981 AMC 1179 (E.D. La. 1980) .....	153
McCANN v. FALGOUT BOAT COMPANY 44 F.R.D. 34 (S.D. Tex. 1968) .....	154
<i>Note: Ancillary Jurisdiction Over F.R.C.P. 14(c) Impleader</i> .....	160
<i>Note: Admiralty Actions and Impleaders: Right to Jury Trial</i> .....	160
<b>8. Joinder of Parties Prior to the Supplemental Jurisdiction Act</b> .....	<b>161</b>
<b>9. Removal</b> .....	<b>161</b>
<i>Note: Overview of Removal</i> .....	161
SUPERIOR FISH CO., INC. v. ROYAL GLOBE INSURANCE CO. 521 F. Supp. 437, 1982 AMC 710 (E.D. Pa. 1981) .....	162
<i>Note</i> .....	164
TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE v. HOUSTON CASUALTY INS. CO. 87 F.3d 150, 1996 AMC 2296 (5th Cir. 1996) .....	164
<b>a. Non-Removability</b> .....	<b>168</b>
EASTERN STEEL & METAL CO. v. HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE CO. 376 F. Supp. 763, 1974 AMC 1701 (D. Conn. 1974) .....	168
POIRRIER v. NICKLOS DRILLING CO. 648 F.2d 1063, 1982 AMC 1514 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	169

CHAPTER 3 - ADMIRALTY REMEDIES .....	171
A. Textual Analysis: Enforcement of Maritime Claims, Liens and Mortgages in the United States .....	171
1. <i>In Rem Actions</i> .....	171
2. <i>Admiralty Attachments and Garnishments</i> .....	172
3. <i>Additional Provisions Applicable to Arrest and Attachment</i> .....	174
4. <i>Actions in State Courts</i> .....	177
5. <i>Further reading</i> .....	177
B. Arrest—Supplemental Rule C—Federal Rules of Civil Procedure .....	177
C. Supplemental Rule E—Federal Rules of Civil Procedure .....	179
D. In rem Jurisdiction: Cases .....	182
1. <i>Arrest Procedures</i> .....	182
SALAZAR v. THE “ATLANTIC SUN”	
881 F.2d 73, 1989 AMC 2594 (3d Cir. 1989) .....	182
TRANSORIENT NAVIGATORS CO., S.A. v. M/S SOUTHWIND	
788 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1986) .....	187
2. <i>Intervention</i> .....	190
OVERSTREET v. THE WATER VESSEL “NORKONG”	
706 F.2d 641, 1987 AMC 818 (5th Cir. 1983) .....	190
3. <i>Waiver: verified statement of right or interest</i> .....	193
CACTUS PIPE & SUPPLY CO., INC. v. M/V MONTMARTRE	
756 F.2d 1103, 1985 AMC 2150 (5th Cir. 1985) .....	193
Note: Letter of undertaking with a non-waiver of rights clause .....	199
4. <i>Waiver: Request for Relief</i> .....	199
U.S. v. REPUBLIC MARINE, INC.	
829 F.2d 1399, 1988 AMC 2507 (7th Cir. 1987) .....	199
5. <i>Jurisdiction to Review In rem Judgments</i> .....	203
FARWEST STEEL CORP. v. BARGE SEA-SPAN 241	
769 F.2d 620, 1987 AMC 926 (9th Cir. 1985) .....	203
REPUBLIC NATIONAL BANK OF MIAMI v. U.S.	
506 U.S. 80, 113 S. Ct. 554, 121 L. Ed. 2d 474, 1993 AMC 2010 (1992) .....	205
Note: Applicability of Republic National Bank of Miami to Admiralty Arrest and Attachment .....	208
Note: Equitable Exceptions to the Traditional Rule .....	208
6. <i>Pleading Requirements: Heightened Particularity</i> .....	209
7. <i>Security on Counterclaims</i> .....	209
INCAS AND MONTEREY PRINTING AND PACKAGING, LTD. v. M/V SANG JIN	
747 F.2d 958, 1985 AMC 968 (5th Cir. 1984) .....	209
TITAN NAVIGATION, INC. v. TIMSCO, INC.	
808 F.2d 400, 1987 AMC 1396 (5th Cir. 1987) .....	214
8. <i>Res Judicata</i> .....	217
CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC CORP. v. EMPRESA NAVIERA SANTA S.A.	
56 F.3d 359, 1996 AMC 163 (2d Cir. 1995) .....	217
E. Maritime Attachment .....	224
Introductory Note: The Process of Foreign Attachment: Historical Background and Purpose .....	224
1. <i>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Supplemental Rule B: Attachment</i> .....	225
Note .....	226
2. <i>Cases and Notes: The Nature of Rule B Jurisdiction</i> .....	226
a. <i>Quasi In Rem Proceedings</i> .....	226
EAST ASIATIC CO., LTD. v. INDOMAR, LTD.	
422 F. Supp. 1335, 1976 AMC 2039 (S.D.N.Y. 1976) .....	226
STARONSET SHIPPING LTD. v. NORTH STAR NAVIGATION, INC.	
659 F. Supp. 189, 1987 AMC 1932 (S.D.N.Y. 1989) .....	230
Notes: 1. .....	231
2. <i>The Nature of an Attachment Action</i> .....	231
TEYSEER CEMENT CO. v. HALLA MARITIME CORP.	
794 F.2d 472, 1986 AMC 2705 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	232
Note: Waiver of Jurisdictional Defenses .....	234
Note: Attachment: Time of “Execution” .....	235

<i>b. “Found Within the District”: A Two-Prong Test</i> .....	235
SEAWIND COMPANIA, S.A. v. CRESCENT LINE, INC. 320 F.2d 580 (2d Cir. 1963) .....	235
NIKKO SHIPPING CO. v. M/V SEA WIND 941 F. Supp. 587, 1997 AMC 399 (D. Md. 1996) .....	238
Notes: .....	239
1. “Jurisdictional Presence” .....	239
2. Service of Process Via Agent .....	240
3. Service of Process on Official Agent .....	240
<i>c. The Distinction Between Proceedings: Attachment and Actions In Rem</i> .....	240
BELCHER COMPANY OF ALABAMA, INC. v. M/V MARATHA MARINER 724 F.2d 1161, 1984 AMC 1679 (5th Cir. 1984) .....	240
DAY v. TEMPLE DRILLING CO. 613 F. Supp. 194 (S.D. Miss. 1985) .....	243
<i>d. Attachable property within the district</i> .....	246
WINTER STORM SHIPPING, LTD v. TPI 310 F.3d 263 (2d Cir. 2002) .....	246
<i>e. Questions of Constitutionality</i> .....	256
1. Due Process Requirements in a Maritime Context .....	256
Note .....	256
2. In Personam Jurisdiction: Long Arm Statutes—Requisite Minimum Contacts .....	256
Note: Federal Rules of Civil Procedure .....	256
WORLD TANKER CARRIERS CORP. v. M/V YA MAWLAYA 99 F.3d 717, 1997 AMC 305 (5th Cir. 1996) .....	256
Note: Venue in Admiralty Cases .....	260
<b>CHAPTER 4 — MARITIME LIENS</b> .....	<b>262</b>
<b>A. The Nature of Maritime Liens</b> .....	<b>262</b>
Note: Definition and Enforcement of Maritime Liens .....	262
<b>1. The Law of Maritime Liens: Nineteenth Century Jurisprudence</b> .....	<b>263</b>
THE BRIG NESTOR .....	263
18 F. Cas. 9 (C.C.D. Maine, 1831) .....	263
THE BOLD BUCCLEUGH 13 Eng. Rep. 884 [7 Moo. P.C. 267] (1851) .....	263
THE BRIG MALEK ADHEL 43 U.S. (2 How.) 210, 11 L. Ed. 239 (1844) .....	264
Note: Functional Characteristics .....	265
<b>2. Comparative Law</b> .....	<b>266</b>
Note: Comparison: Civil and Common Law Jurisdictions .....	266
Note: International Conventions .....	266
<b>B. Vessels to Which Maritime Liens Attach</b> .....	<b>266</b>
Note: Component Parts and Accessories of a Vessel .....	266
<b>1. Interpretations of the Term “Vessel”</b> .....	<b>268</b>
McCARTHY v. THE BARK PEKING 716 F.2d 130, 1984 AMC 1 (2d Cir. 1983) .....	268
<b>2. Time of Attachment</b> .....	<b>271</b>
ARQUES SHIPYARDS v. THE S.S. CHARLES VAN DAMME 175 F. Supp. 871 (N.D. Cal. 1959) .....	271
Note: The “Dead Ship” Doctrine .....	271
<b>3. Vessels in Custodia Legis: Expenses of Justice</b> .....	<b>272</b>
NEW YORK DOCK CO. v. S.S. POZNAN 274 U.S. 117, 47 S.Ct. 482, 71 L. Ed. 955 (1927) .....	272
Note: Vessels in Custodia Legis .....	274
Note: Vessels Owned or Operated by a Sovereign .....	274
<b>C. Tort Liens</b> .....	<b>274</b>
<b>1. Marine Pollution</b> .....	<b>274</b>
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPT. OF FISH AND GAME v. S.S. BOURNEMOUTH 307 F. Supp. 922, 1970 AMC 642 (C.D. Cal. 1969) .....	274

2. <i>Liability of the Vessel: Personification of the Res</i> .....	276
THE CHINA 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 53, 19 L. Ed. 67 (1868) .....	276
<i>Note: Shipowner’s Liability for Acts of Compulsory/Noncompulsory Pilots</i> .....	277
3. <i>Liability of the Vessel: Negligence of Charterer</i> .....	278
THE BARNSTABLE 181 U.S. 464, 21 S.Ct. 684, 45 L. Ed. 954 (1901) .....	278
<i>Note: Liability in Rem Without Liability in Personam</i> .....	279
<i>Note: Res Judicata</i> .....	279
<b>D. Contract Liens</b> .....	<b>280</b>
<i>Note: The Creation of Contract Liens</i> .....	280
1. <i>Executory Contracts/Partial Execution</i> .....	280
OSAKA SHOSEN KAISHA v. PACIFIC EXPORT LUMBER CO. 260 U.S. 490, 43 S.Ct. 172, 67 L. Ed. 364 (1923) .....	280
<i>Note: Breach of Executory Contract</i> .....	283
2. <i>Contracts of Passage</i> .....	283
ACKER v. THE CITY OF ATHENS 177 F.2d 961 (4th Cir. 1949) .....	283
<i>Note: Carriage of Passengers</i> .....	283
3. <i>Seamen’s Liens for “Wages”</i> .....	284
WEST WINDS, INC. v. M.V. RESOLUTE 720 F.2d 1097, 1984 AMC 319 (9th Cir. 1983) .....	284
<i>Note: Seamen’s Wages</i> .....	287
4. <i>Contract for Sale vs. Contract to Charter Vessel</i> .....	288
<i>Question</i> .....	288
5. <i>The Preferred Ship Mortgage</i> .....	288
<i>Note: The Ship Mortgage Act, 1920 46 U.S.C. §§ 31301 et seq.</i> .....	288
Subchapter II—Commercial Instruments .....	290
6. <i>Liens for Necessaries</i> .....	294
<i>Note: The “Home Port” Doctrine and the Enactment of the Maritime Lien Act</i> .....	294
THE FEDERAL MARITIME LIEN ACT 46 U.S.C. § 971 et seq. ....	294
<i>a. Current Statutory Provisions Relating to Maritime Liens</i> .....	295
Commercial Instruments and Maritime Liens 46 U.S.C. § 31301, et seq. ....	295
<i>b. Interpretation of Current Statutory Provisions: Relevant Decisions Based Upon</i> <i>the Federal Maritime Lien Act</i> .....	296
<i>i. 46 U.S.C. § 31342: “A Person Providing Necessaries to a Vessel...”</i> .....	296
PIEDMONT COAL CO. v. SEABOARD FISHERIES CO. 254 U.S. 1, 41 S.Ct. 1, 65 L. Ed. 97 (1920) .....	296
FOSS LAUNCH & TUG CO. v. CHAR CHING SHIPPING U.S.A. 808 F.2d 697, 1987 AMC 913 (9th Cir. 1987) .....	298
<i>Note</i> .....	303
<i>ii. 46 U.S.C. § 31301(4): “Necessaries’ Includes Repairs, Supplies, Towage, and the</i> <i>Use of a Dry Dock or Marine Railway...”</i> .....	304
CLUBB OIL TOOLS, INC. v. M/V GEORGE VERGOTTIS 460 F. Supp. 835, 1980 AMC 556 (S.D. Tex. 1978) .....	304
<i>Note: Liens for “Other Necessaries”</i> .....	305
<i>Note: Absence of Maritime Contract</i> .....	306
<i>iii. 46 U.S.C. § 31341: “Persons ... Presumed to Have Authority to Procure Necessaries for a Vessel...”</i> .....	306
<i>Note: “Presumed ... Authority” and “Authorized by the Owner”</i> .....	306
EPSTEIN v. CORPORACION PERUANA DE VAPORES 325 F. Supp. 535, 1971 AMC 1259 (S.D.N.Y., 1971) .....	307
(A) Authority to Lien a Vessel Under the General Maritime Law .....	309
(B) Authority to Lien a Vessel Under the Federal Maritime Lien Act: Pre-1971 .....	309
<i>Note: The Original Language of 46 U.S.C. § 973</i> .....	309
THE SOUTH COAST 251 U.S. 519, 40 S.Ct. 233, 64 L. Ed. 386 (1920) .....	310
UNITED STATES v. CARVER 260 U.S. 482, 43 S.Ct. 181, 67 L. Ed. 361 (1923) .....	310
DAMPSKIBSSELSKABET DANNEBROG v. SIGNAL OIL & GAS CO. OF CALIFORNIA 310 U.S. 268, 60 S.Ct. 937, 84 L. Ed. 1197 (1940) .....	311
(C) The Effect of the 1971 Amendment: No-Lien Clauses .....	315

<i>Note: The 1971 Amendment</i> .....	315
BELCHER OIL CO. v. M/V GARDENIA 766 F.2d 1508, 1986 AMC 1745 (11th Cir. 1985) .....	316
MARINE FUEL SUPPLY & TOWING, INC. v. M/V KEN LUCKY 859 F.2d 1405, 1989 AMC 390 (9th Cir. 1988) opinion amended and superseded by 869 F.2d 473 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	320
<i>Notes</i> .....	323
<i>Note: Subcontractors</i> .....	324
<i>Note: Additional Persons to Whom the Vessel is “Entrusted”</i> .....	324
<i>Note: Authority of “an Agreed Buyer”</i> .....	324
E. Persons Who May Acquire Maritime Liens .....	325
MEDINA v. MARVIRAZON COMPANIA NAVIERA, S.A. 533 F. Supp. 1279, 1983 AMC 2116 (D. Mass. 1982) aff’d, 709 F.2d 124, 1983 AMC 2113 (1st Cir. 1983) .....	325
<i>Note: Owners, Stockholders, and Agents</i> .....	326
<i>Note: Advances and Assignment of Liens</i> .....	327
<b>F. Priorities of Liens</b> .....	<b>327</b>
<i>Introductory Note</i> .....	327
1. <i>Priority of Wage Claims</i> .....	328
2. <i>Tort vs. Contract Liens</i> .....	328
3. <i>Claims for Negligent Towage</i> .....	328
<b>1. Ranking of Liens of the Same Class: the “Inverse Order” Rule</b> .....	<b>328</b>
<i>Introductory Note: The Inverse Order Rule</i> .....	328
1. <i>Maritime Contract Liens</i> .....	329
2. <i>Other Maritime Liens</i> .....	329
3. <i>Maritime Contract Liens — Time of Attachment</i> .....	330
4. <i>No Inverse Order Rule for Attachments</i> .....	330
<b>2. Special Time Rules</b> .....	<b>330</b>
TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION v. THE CITY OF ATHENS 83 F. Supp. 67 (D. Md. 1949) .....	330
<i>Note: Periods of Priority: Service Liens</i> .....	334
<b>3. “Preferred” Maritime Liens</b> .....	<b>334</b>
<i>Note: Ship Mortgage Foreclosures</i> .....	334
THE SHIP MORTGAGE ACT 46 U.S.C. § 953 .....	335
Commercial Instruments and Maritime Liens 46 U.S.C. §§ 31301, et seq. ....	335
a. <i>Priority Determination</i> .....	336
THE HOME 65 F. Supp. 94 (W.D. Wash. 1946) .....	336
b. <i>Single/Severable Contracts</i> .....	337
REDWOOD, ETC., ASS’N v. FISHING VESSEL OWNERS, ETC. 530 F. Supp. 75 (W.D. Wash. 1981) .....	337
c. <i>Liens for Cargo Damage: Contract or Tort?</i> .....	338
ALL ALASKAN SEAFOODS, INC. v. M/V SEA PRODUCER 882 F.2d 425, 1989 AMC 2935 (9th Cir. 1989) .....	338
<i>Note: Priority of Preferred Liens: Expiration</i> .....	341
<i>Note: Conflicts of Law</i> .....	341
<i>Note: Ranking of Liens: Law of the Forum</i> .....	342
<b>G. Extinction of Maritime Liens</b> .....	<b>342</b>
<b>1. Laches</b> .....	<b>342</b>
BERMUDA EXP., N.V. v. M/V LITSA (Ex. LAURIE U) 872 F.2d 554, 1989 AMC 1537 (3d Cir. 1989) .....	342
<i>Note: Private Sale and Laches</i> .....	347
<b>2. Comparison: Foreign Attachment and Actions in Rem</b> .....	<b>347</b>
BELCHER CO. OF ALABAMA, INC. v. M/V MARATHA MARINER 724 F.2d 1161, 1984 AMC 1679 (5th Cir. 1984) .....	347
<i>Note: Judicial Sale</i> .....	349
<b>3. Effect of Sale by Foreign Court of Competent Jurisdiction</b> .....	<b>349</b>
ATLANTIC SHIP SUPPLY, INC. v. M/V LUCY 392 F. Supp. 179 (M.D. Fla. 1975) .....	349

<b>4. Admiralty and Bankruptcy .....</b>	<b>352</b>
Notes .....	352
1. Jurisdiction .....	352
2. Bankruptcy and Reorganization .....	352
<b>5. Waiver .....</b>	<b>352</b>
THE FEDERAL MARITIME LIEN ACT 46 U.S.C. § 971, et seq. ....	352
a. <i>Current Statutory Provisions Relating to Maritime Liens</i> .....	353
Commercial Instruments and Maritime Liens 46 U.S.C. § 31301, et seq. ....	353
MARITREND, INC. v. SERAC & CO. (SHIPPING) LTD 348 F.3d 469, 2003 AMC 2743 (5th Cir. 2003) .....	353
Note: <i>Destruction and Release of the Res</i> .....	357
Note: <i>Res judicata</i> .....	357
<b>CHAPTER 5 — LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.....</b>	<b>358</b>
Introductory Note .....	358
<b>A. Practice and Procedure .....</b>	<b>358</b>
1. <i>The Federal Limitation of Shipowner’s Liability Act</i> .....	358
Federal Limitation of Shipowner’s Liability Act 46 app. U.S.C. §§ 181-189 .....	358
2. <i>Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Supplemental Rule F</i> .....	361
COMPLAINT OF CARIBBEAN SEA TRANSPORT, LTD. 748 F.2d 622, 1985 AMC 1995 (11th Cir. 1984) .....	363
3. <i>Concursus of Claims</i> .....	364
LEWIS v. LEWIS & CLARK MARINE, INC. 531 U.S. 438, 121 S. Ct. 993, 148 L. Ed. 2d 931, 2001 AMC 913 (2001) .....	364
Notes .....	370
1. <i>Sufficiency of Stipulations</i> .....	370
2. <i>The Six-Month Rule</i> .....	370
3. <i>Trial by Jury</i> 370	
4. <i>Joinder of Parties and Claims</i> .....	370
4. <i>Interplay of State and Federal Proceedings: Raising Limitation Defensively</i> .....	371
VATICAN SHRIMP CO., INC. v. SOLIS 820 F.2d 674, 1987 AMC 2426 (5th Cir. 1987) .....	371
Note: <i>State Court Proceedings</i> .....	375
Note: <i>Federal Court Proceedings in Diversity</i> .....	375
<b>B. The Limitation Fund.....</b>	<b>375</b>
COMPLAINT OF CARIBBEAN SEA TRANSPORT, LTD. 748 F.2d 622, 1985 AMC 1995 (11th Cir. 1984) .....	375
Note: <i>Prior Encumbrances</i> .....	378
Note: <i>Computation of the Limitation Fund</i> .....	379
Note: <i>The “Flotilla” Rule</i> .....	380
Note: <i>Distribution of the Fund</i> .....	381
<b>C. Parties and Vessels Entitled to Limit .....</b>	<b>381</b>
1. <i>Demise vs. Time Charterer</i> .....	381
COMPLAINT OF COOK TRANSP. SYSTEM, INC. 431 F. Supp. 437 (W.D. Tenn. 1976) .....	381
2. <i>Shipowner’s Insurer</i> .....	384
Note: <i>Insurance</i> .....	384
CROWN ZELLERBACH CORP. v. INGRAM INDUSTRIES, INC. 783 F.2d 1296, 1986 AMC 1471 (5th Cir. 1986) (en banc) .....	384
Notes .....	390
1. <i>Direct Actions Against Insurers: The Limitation of Liability Defense</i> .....	390
2. <i>Persons Entitled to Limit Liability</i> .....	390
3. <i>Vessels Subject to Limitation</i> .....	390
<b>D. Grounds for Denying Limitation: Privity or Knowledge .....</b>	<b>391</b>
WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION v. GAY COTTONS 414 F.2d 724 (9th Cir. 1969) .....	391
Note: <i>Privity or Knowledge of Corporate Shipowners</i> .....	396
Note: <i>Breaking the Assertion of Limitation</i> .....	397

<b>E. Claims Subject to Limitation .....</b>	<b>397</b>
SIGNAL OIL & GAS CO. v. BARGE W-701	
654 F.2d 1164, 1982 AMC 2603 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	397
<i>Note: Excluded Claims</i> .....	399
<b>F. Choice of Law .....</b>	<b>400</b>
<b>1. The Nature of Limitation Law: Substantive or Procedural .....</b>	<b>400</b>
MATTER OF BETHLEHEM STEEL CORP.	
631 F.2d 441, 1980 AMC 2122 (6th Cir. 1980) .....	400
<i>Note: The Choice of Law Problem</i> .....	403
<b>2. The Brussels Convention: Procedural in Nature .....</b>	<b>403</b>
COMPLAINT OF COMPANIA GIJONESA DE NAVEGACION, S.A.	
590 F. Supp. 241, 1985 AMC 1469 (S.D.N.Y. 1984) .....	403
 <b>CHAPTER 6 — GENERAL AVERAGE .....</b>	 <b>406</b>
<i>Introductory Note</i> .....	406
<i>The York-Antwerp Rules</i> .....	406
<b>A. Underlying Theory and Codification of the York-Antwerp Rules: “Peril” or</b>	
<b>“Safe Prosecution of the Voyage” .....</b>	<b>407</b>
EAGLE TERMINAL TANKERS v. INSURANCE CO. OF U.S.S.R.	
637 F.2d 890, 1981 AMC 137 (2nd Cir. 1981) .....	407
ROYAL INSURANCE CO. OF AMERICA v. CINERARIA SHIPPING CO.	
894 F. Supp. 1557, 1996 AMC 2051 (M.D. Fla. 1995) .....	413
<b>B. Causation-Damages: “Direct Consequences” .....</b>	<b>418</b>
SEA-LAND SERVICE, INC. v. AETNA INSURANCE CO.	
545 F.2d 1313, 1976 AMC 2164 (2nd Cir. 1976) .....	418
<b>C. The Interrelationship between General Average, COGSA, and “The New Jason Clause” .....</b>	<b>422</b>
<i>Introductory Note</i> .....	422
CALIFORNIA & HAWAIIAN S. CO. v. COLUMBIA S.S. CO., INC.	
391 F. Supp. 894, 1973 AMC 676 (E.D. La. 1972), aff’d 510 F.2d 542, 1975 AMC 1309 (5th Cir. 1975) .....	423
<i>Notes</i> .....	427
<i>Unseaworthiness: Denial of General Average Claim</i> .....	427
<i>The General Average Adjuster</i> .....	427
<i>Calculation of General Average</i> .....	427
 <b>CHAPTER 7 — GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITIES .....</b>	 <b>428</b>
<b>A. The Federal Government—Waiver of Sovereign Immunity .....</b>	<b>428</b>
<b>1. Relevant Statutes .....</b>	<b>428</b>
a. <i>The Suits in Admiralty Act</i> .....	428
b. <i>The Public Vessels Act</i> .....	429
c. <i>The Federal Tort Claims Act</i> .....	430
<i>Note: Jurisdiction based on the Admiralty Extension Act, 46 U.S.C. § 740 (1948)</i> .....	431
<b>2. Comparison: Public Vessels Act and Suits in Admiralty Act .....</b>	<b>431</b>
UNITED STATES v. UNITED CONTINENTAL TUNA	
425 U.S. 164, 96 S. Ct. 1319, 47 L. Ed. 2d 653, 1976 AMC 258 (1975) .....	431
<b>3. Comparison: Suits in Admiralty Act and Federal Tort Claims Act .....</b>	<b>436</b>
KELLY v. UNITED STATES 531 F.2d 1144, 1976 AMC 284 (2nd Cir. 1975) .....	436
<b>4. SIAA: “Exclusivity” .....</b>	<b>438</b>
<i>Note: Suits Against the Federal Government</i> .....	438
PADRO v. VESSEL CHARTERS, INC	
731 F. Supp. 145, 1990 AMC 1664 (S.D.N.Y. 1990) .....	438
<b>5. SIAA: “Discretionary Functions” Exception .....</b>	<b>441</b>
McMELLON v. UNITED STATES 387 F.3d 329, 2004 AMC 2553 (4th Cir. 2004) .....	441
<i>Note</i> .....	453
<b>B. State and Municipal Governments .....</b>	<b>453</b>
FLORIDA DEPT. OF STATE v. TREASURE SALVORS, INC.	
458 U.S. 670, 102 S. Ct. 3304, 73 L. Ed. 2d 1057, 1983 AMC 144 (1982) .....	453
<i>Notes:</i> .....	457

<i>Treasure Salvors Cases</i> .....	457
<i>States' Eleventh Amendment Immunity</i> .....	457
<i>Suits Against Municipal Governments</i> .....	458
<b>C. Foreign Governments</b> .....	<b>458</b>
<b>1. Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA)</b> .....	<b>458</b>
<i>Note: sanction for suit not permitted by FSIA</i> .....	460
<b>2. Jurisdiction</b> .....	<b>460</b>
CHINA NAT., ETC. v. M/V LAGO HUALAIHUE 504 F. Supp. 684, 1981 AMC 405 (D. Md. 1981) .....	460
CASTILLO v. SHIPPING CORP. OF INDIA 606 F. Supp. 497, 1985 AMC 2926 (S.D.N.Y. 1985) .....	462
<i>Note: Dismissal Based Upon Forum Non Conveniens</i> .....	467
<i>Note: Suits Against Foreign Governments</i> .....	468